Morphology and biology of *Cyclops scutifer* Sars, 1863 in high mountain lakes of East Siberia (including Lake Amut)*

Natalya G. SHEVELEVA¹, Mydygma Ts. ITIGILOVA^{2, **}, Ayushcuren CHANANBAATOR³

¹ Institute of Limnology, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy Science, Ulan-Batorskaya 3, Irkutsk 664033, Russian Federation

² Institute of Natural Resources, Ecology and Cryology, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy Science, Nedorezova, 16a, Chita 672014, Russian Federation

6/2014, Russian Federation

³ Institute of Biology Mongolian Academy of Science, Pr. Zhukova, Ulan-Bator 210351, Mongolia

Received Apr. 2, 2015; accepted in principle Jun. 24, 2015; accepted for publication Jan. 19, 2016 © Chinese Society for Oceanology and Limnology, Science Press, and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

Abstract Data on zooplankton from 13 high-mountain lakes of East Siberia have shown that the Holarctic copepod *Cyclops scutifer* Sars, 1863 dominates among crustaceans. In July, its abundance comprised 64%–98% of the total plankton fauna in the pelagial of these lakes, approximately 30% in the littoral zone and 10% in small northern thermokarst lakes. Biometric measurements and morphological descriptions based on scanning microscope images are supplemented by the data on its geographic distribution and phenology.

Keyword: high mountain lakes; Cyclops scutifer; morphology; biology; distribution

1 INTRODUCTION

The crustacean Cyclops scutifer Sars, 1863 is one of the most abundant zooplankton species in highmountain water bodies of East Siberia, including lakes of the Baikal Rift Zone. Some researchers have identified Cyclops scutifer wigrensis as a subspecies living in these waters (Vasilyeva, 1967; Sheveleva et al., 2009). At present the C. scutifer complex includes 8 more species besides the nominal one, among which 4 new species were described by E.A. Streletskaya from the North East USSR (Streletskaya, 1990). Until recently, there were some difficulties in identification of the forms and subspecies of C. scutifer because descriptions and drawings of the scutifer group presented in identification keys (Rylov, 1948; Monchenko, 1974; Identification keys..., 1995; Identification keys..., 2010), lacked details. More thorough examination of the morphological features of this species by means of scanning microscopy enabled us to show that the C. scutifer in habitat in highmountain water bodies of East Siberia was identical to the one from the identification guide of Einsle (1996). Our investigations have provided data on the morphology, biology, and distribution of this species.

Cyclops scutifer Sars, 1863 is an abundant zooplankton species in high mountain water bodies of

East Siberia, including lakes within Bikal Rift Zone.

The genus *Cyclops* in the zooplankton of Lakes Amut and Ilchiris is represented by one species *Cyclops scutifer* Sars, 1863. Our investigations provided data on morphology, biology, and distribution of this species.

2 MATERIAL AND METHOD

Cyclops scutifer Sars, 1863, collected from 13 mountain lakes of East Siberia, mainly from the Baikal Rift Zone, was the subject of our analysis. The water bodies under study were oligotrophic, mainly fed by melting snow and ice. All these lakes are characterized by a long freeze-up period that starts in mid-late October. Ice disappears by the end of the second or third decade of June. Among the lakes under study are some of tectonic-glacial origin (Oron, Nichatka, and Leprindo), glacial-moraine (Amut) and karst and thermokarst medium and small lakes (Fig.1).

The elevation of the lakes above sea level varies

^{*} Supported by the Projects "Modern state, biodiversity and ecology of the shore zone of Baikal" (VI.51.1.10) (2013–2016) and the "Dynamics of natural and natural-human systems to climate change and anthropogenic pressures (for example, Trans-Baikal)" (VIII.79.1.2) (2013–2016)

^{**} Corresponding author: imts49@mail.ru

No.	Lake name	Latitude, longitude	Depth (max) (m)	Area (km ²)	Elevation above sea level (m)
1	Ilchir	51°97'N, 101°99'E	12	3.1	1 963
2	Istok Lena	53°95'N, 108°06'E	16	110.2	1 470
3	Oron	57°10'N, 116°52'E	150	51.3	600
4	Devochanda	56°80'N, 116°17'E	23	0.2	1 174
5	Polovinskoe	57°03'N, 116°51'E	21	0.4	1 400
6	Krestaki	56°84'N, 116°38'E	21	0.7	1 400
7	Amut	55°29'N, 111°77'E	70	10.7	1 453
8	Goltsovoe	56°61'N, 117°40'E	25	0.3	984
9	Nichatka	57°76'N, 117°65'E	117	44.0	554
10	Leprindo	56°62'N, 117°52'E	74	26.2	984
11	Leprindokan	56°55'N, 117°50'E	26	12.1	1 056
12	Big Nichangna	57°12'N, 118°81'E	4	0.8	674

 Table 1 Geographic parameters of lakes

from 554 (Nichatka) to 1 963 m (Ilchir). Tectonicglacial and glacial-moraine lakes are mostly large and deep compared to karst and thermokarst ones (Table 1).

2

0.2

685

57°09'N,

118°75'E

Small

Buldyazhik

13

Zooplankton was sampled in lakes of the Kuanda-Chara depression: Leprindo, S. Buldyazhik, and B. Nichangna, June–September 1988; Nichatka, July 1990; Amut, April, June–August 2008; Oron, Krestaki, Goltsovoe and Devochanda, June– September 2000; Istok Lena, June–September 1998– 1999, 2002; Ilchir, January–December 1998.

The samples were collected by a Juday net with a filtering capron cone (sieve No. 55, mesh 125 μ m) and a hydrobiological net for filtering 10–60 L of water. Qualitative samples were collected with a net. Mature females with egg sacs and males were selected to examine morphology of *Cyclops*. Before preparation, each specimen was measured following (Fig.2) the method of Kozminski (1936). Setae on caudal rami were designated according to Dussart (1969). During this investigation, we used a microscope (Olympus CX 41) and a scanning electron microscope (Philips 525 M).



Fig.1 Schematic presentation of the lakes

3 RESULT

3.1 Morphology

Basic biometric measurements of a female and male, and the detailed description of morphology are presented in Table 2 and Figs.3–8.

Female body length excluding furcal setae 1 025–1 175 μ m, body elongate, slender. Cephalothorax approximately 1.5 times longer than its width. Posterior angles of cephalothorax and thoracic segments of the same structure do not protrude backwards (Fig.3).

The fourth and fifth thoracic segments with welldefined, pointed lateral protrusions. Genital segment evenly constricted posteriorly (Fig.3c, d). Anal plate poorly defined (Fig.3e). Caudal rami relatively short, making 10%–11.7% of the body length, their width 4.6–5.2 times less than length (Table 2), slightly separating from each other, totally covered by hairs on the inner side (Fig.3f). Lateral seta attached on first 1/3 of the caudal rami length. Dorsal seta (Td) slightly more than 1.5 times longer than Te (Table 2).

Antennule long, 17-segmented, reaching middle of the fourth thoracic segment. The first article of antennule bears a row of fine spines (Fig.3h). Antenna 4-segmented with 7 setae at the end (Fig.4a). The whole inner margin of the third and fourth article bearing fine hairs at an angle to its surface (Fig.4d). The inner (or medial) margin of the third article is bearing setae, while the outer (lateral) margins of the third and fourth articles are bearing hair-like spinules.

Mandible with 2 stout, long setae and a short one (Fig.5a, b). Cutting edge of mandible armed with a plumose seta and short pointed bifurcated teeth (Fig.5c). Maxillulary palp and praecoxalarthrite



Fig.2 Cyclops measurement by Kozminski (1936)

1+2+3+4=long ceph.; 5=lat. Max. Ceph.; 6=lat. th. 4; 7=lat. th. 5; 8+9+10+ 11=long. abd; 12=long furca; 13=lat.furca. Ti: terminal internal seta; Te: terminal external seta; Tmi: terminal median seta; Tme: terminal medial external; Td: dorsal seta.

Table	2	Measurements	of	Cyclops	scutifer	(females	and
	j	male) from Lake	e Ai	mut (A) ខ	and by E	insle, 1990	6 (B)

INDEX	А	А	В	
	Female (n=15)	Male (<i>n</i> =15)	Female	
Body length (mm)	1.0–1.2 (min–max)	0.920–1.03 (min–max)	1.1–1.6 (min–max)	
Furcal rami; L/W	4.6–5.2 (min–max)	4.8–5.5 (min–max)	4.1–5.5 (min–max)	
Furcal rami/body length (%)	10-11.7	10.4–12.5	10-11	
Ti/furcal rami	1.05–1.2 (min–max)	0.98–1.16 (min–max)	1.1–1 (min–max)	
Ti/body length (%)	12.1–14.7	10.2-13.3	12-13	
Tmi/furcal rami	3.1	2.94	3	
Tmi/body length (%)	34–40	32–38	28–36	
Tme/furcal rami	1.6	1.67	2	
Te/Ti	0.47	0.5	0.5	
Td/Te	1.56	2.11	<2	

armed with stout setae (Fig.5d). Maxilla without any specific features compared to most of cyclopids



Fig.3 Cyclops scutifer (1)

a. female, dorsal view; b. female, ventral view; c. genital segment, dorsal view; d. genital segment with spermatophores; e. anal somite; f. caudal rami; g. antennule; h. fist segment of antennule.

(Fig.5e). Long hair-like spinules present on distal third of syncoxopodite and medial (inner) half of basipodite on frontal surface of maxilliped (Fig.5f).

P1-P4 rami three-segmented, spine formula 3/4/3/3 and seta formula 5/5/5/5. Endopodite and exopodite articles of rectangular shape (Figs.6, 7). Medial seta of P1 basipodite long, reaching distal edge of the third article. P2 and P3 coxae with 5-7 spinules (Fig.6b, c). P4 coupler naked, with small humps on distal margin. Coxal seta swollen and bearing thick setules (Fig.7c, d). P4 coxopodite adorned with spinules groups "A", "B", "C", "D" and "F" to the corresponding group of spinules in Fig.7c. P4end3 almost three times as long as wide (Fig.7e). First endopodal segment of P4 with a cuticular outgrowth (Fig.7a, b). P5 has 2-segments, distal article three times longer than wide (Fig.6d). Basal part of seta and spine on the distal article armed with a row of spinules. Spine long extending beyond the article rim (Fig.6d).

Females transparent, rarely bluish. Egg sacs rounded, tightly stuck to the genital segment, 16–19

No.2



Fig.4 Cyclops scutifer (2)

a. antenna; b. antennary basipodite, caudal; c. antennary basipodite, frontal; d. 2-4 segment of antenna.



Fig.6 *Cyclops scutifer* **(4)** a. Leg 1; b. coxa P2; c. coxa P3; d. Leg P5.



Fig.5 Cyclops scutifer (3)

a. mandible; b. rudiment of endopodial of mandible; c. pars incisive of mandible; d. maxillula; e. maxilla; f. maxilliped.



Fig.7 Cyclops scutifer (5) a. End1P4; b. Leg P4; c. coxa P4; d. coupler of P4; e. End 3 P4.



Fig.8 Cyclops scutifer (6) a. male, dorsal view; b. male, right lateral; c. antennule; d. P6.

eggs in each sac.

Male slender and smaller than female, 920-1 025 µm long (Table 2). Antennules 17-segmented (Fig.8c). Pediger 4 and 5 without "wings", similar in shape to preceding somites (Fig.8a). Furcal index varies from 4.8 to 5.5, average 5.1 (Table 2). Longitudinal ridge on caudal rami missing. Tmi of caudal rami long and, as a rule, curved inwards (Fig.8b). Spermatophores large, bean-shaped, attached at right angle to genital double-somite of female, extending beyond the segment margins (Fig.3d). Male P6 armed with 2 setae and a spine. Spine 1.3 times shorter than medial seta and slightly more than twice as short as the dorsal one (Fig.8d).

3.2 Biology

Because of the remoteness of Lakes Amut and Ilchir, we could perform our investigations only sporadically. The life cycle of *C. scutifer* was studied in Lake Amut in April, June, July, and August, and in Lake Ilchir in January, February, March, April, August, and December. The area of Lake Amut is three times that of Lake Ilchir and its depth is 6 times



Fig.9 Structure of population (%) of *Cyclops scutifer* in Lake Amut (a) and Lake Ilchir (b)

that of Lake Ilchir. However, Lake Ilchir is 500 m higher above sea level than Lake Amut (Table 1).

In early April, the Cyclops population in Lake Amut was dominated by junior (I-II) copepodites (50%) and nauplii (26%), whereas mature individuals amounted to only 5% (Fig.8a). Most of them were males and females without egg sacs. In June after ice breakup in the lake (water temperature 6°C), the Cyclops population included all age groups (Fig.8a) with the dominance of nauplii (50%). Junior (I-II) copepodites were the second densest group. By the end of June, the abundance of nauplii decreased in plankton, whereas the density of copepodites increased. At that period, a peak abundance of the V copepodite instar was observed. Matured individuals were dominated by males. In early July (Fig.8a), the abundance of the juvenile (I-II) copepodite instar reached its maximum, and by the end of July, the age composition of the population changed into the III-IV stage. The abundance of mature individuals was also maximal. Mature specimens were represented mainly by females. In mid-August, we observed abundant reproduction of Cyclops and a peak of nauplii. According to our observations in lakes of Kuanda-Chara Valley and to those by Klishko (1998), relatively high abundance of Cyclops nauplii was recorded in mid-late August. It is likely that Cyclops reproduction starts in autumn like in other deep-water lakes of North Russia (Ivanova, 1975; Korobtsova, 1975; Sheveleva and Shishkin, 1986; Rivier, 2012), and their growth continues in autumn-winter, mainly

under the ice.

We also studied the growth of C. scutifer in small high-mountain Lake Ilchir (area 3.1 km² and maximal depth 12 m) (Fig.8b). From January to March, the abundance of the I-II copepodite instar made up 80%; no matured individuals or copepodites of the V stage were recorded (Fig.8b). By early April, the abundance of the senior (III-IV) copepodite instars increased, and mature crustaceans also emerged. It is more likely that nauplii had hatched in April, and the second cycle of their development continued in late summer-early autumn (data for July and October) because nauplii made up the majority of *Cyclops* density (88%): the population at this age stage descended under the ice. By early December (Fig.8b), the population structure changed: the majority of nauplii had transformed into copepodites. Consequently, the reproduction of C. scutifer in Lake Ilchir took place in April. In late summer-early autumn, the crustaceans at the nauplii stage began overwintering. During the ice-cover period (January-March), crustaceans grew slowly. The population was dominated mainly by the junior (I-II) copepodite instars. Hence, C. scutifer from Lake Ilchir has two evolution cycles.

In Lake Amut, there was no reproduction of nauplii as females were immature, whereas nauplii in Lake Ilchir grew in spring as, despite the altitude above sea level, the water temperature in this small lake was higher than that in Lake Amut.

3.3 Distribution

C. scutifer inhabit mainly water bodies of the Northern Hemisphere. In North America, they were registered in the areas from 42° to 69°N (Elgmork and Halvorsen, 1998; Elgmork, 2004). In the European part of Russia, their distribution is limited to 60°N (Rylov, 1948), which was confirmed by Rivier (2012). In the northeastern part of Russia, *C. scutifer* and their closely related species are represented by 9 species (Streletskaya, 1990). Our research on *C. scutifer* carried out in lakes of East Siberia, mainly in the Baikal Rift Zone (51° to 57°N), recorded only one species.

A stenothermal, psychrophilic *C. scutifer* was registered in these high-mountain lakes all the year round being one of the main components in the zooplankton community. In July, their abundance was 64%–98% of the total number of planktonic animals in the pelagic zone of lakes M. and B. Leprindo, Nichatka, Amut, and Ilchir, whereas in the littoral zone their abundance made up from 7% to 30% (Itigilova and Klishko, 1995; Itigilova and Sheveleva, 2009;

Sheveleva et al., 2009). The age composition of pelagic and littoral communities of *Cyclops* exhibited temporal variations in Lake B. Leprindo. The abundance of *Cyclops* never exceeded 10% of the total number of zooplankton in shallow thermokarst lakes (such as Nichangna and Buldyazhik).

4 DISCUSSION

The life cycle of C. scutifer is different in various lakes, and may or may not show diapause. In two high-mountain lakes of Norway, 1-3-year life cycles of this crustacean were recorded (Elgmork, 1985; Elgmork and Eie, 1989). Some growth stages lasted for almost a year in the lakes. This is likely dependent on the depth of lakes, water temperature, food resources, etc. The duration of life cycles increased with latitude and was inversely proportional to temperature (Elgmork, 2004). It is known that C. scutifer prefer cold water (=10°N) and inhabit well-oxygenated hypolimnia of the lakes (Elgmork, 1967; Halvorsen and Elgmork, 1976). While studying vertical distribution of Cyclops in the Arctic Lake Toolik in Alaska, Cody et al. (2007) revealed that these species were not restricted to cold waters. The optimal temperature for their growth and reproduction, as a rule, appeared to be much higher than the temperature in the hypolimnion (Elgmork, 1967; Halvorsen and Elgmork, 1976). Various cohorts of the C. scutifer population with different reproduction times were recorded in deep lakes of Kamchatka (Lake Dalneye up to 50 m deep and Lake Azabachye up to 30 m deep) (Wetsler, 2009). Senior copepodites fell into a diapause period in Lake Azabachye during the ice-cover period (Bazarkina, 1993). In Lake Siverskoe (the Volga River basin), Cyclops were not found in the water column in winter (Rivier, 2012).

5 CONCLUSION

Our studies performed in high-mountain lakes of East Siberia show that *Cyclops scutifer* dominates in the zooplankton of deep lakes. Diapause was not recorded in this species. In Lake Amut, like in other deep-water bodies of Russia (Korobtsova, 1975; Ivanova, 1975; Sheveleva and Shishkin, 1986; Rivier, 2012), the development of *C. scutifer* lasts throughout a year, i.e., it has one life cycle. Reproduction of *Cyclops* takes place in August–September. The nauplius stage is observed mostly under the ice. Later, during the short ice-free period, nauplii transform into copepodite and adult stages. Two life cycles of *C. scutifer* were recorded in high-mountain shallow Lake Ilchir. During the ice-cover period *Cyclops* go through all growth stages due to intense development of cryptophytes and chrysophytes in winter and early spring with their abundance up to 1×10^6 cells/L (Bondarenko et al., 2002).

In Lake Amut, there was no reproduction of nauplii in spring as females were immature, whereas in Lake Ilchir nauplii developed in spring as, despite the altitude above sea level, the water temperature is higher in this small lake and the ice-free period is longer (140 days) than in Lake Amut (120 days).

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are most grateful to three anonymous referees for valuable comments and linguistic corrections. Data processing and writing of this paper were partially supported by Projects Modern State, Biodiversity and Ecology of the Shore Zone of Baikal (VI.51.1.10) (2013–2016), and The Dynamics of Natural and Natural-Human Systems to Climate Change and Anthropogenic Pressures (for example, Trans-Baikal) (VIII.79.1.2) (2013–2016). A part of this study was done at the Joint Center for Ultramicroanalysis (LIN SB RAS). The English version of this paper was prepared by E.M. Timoshkina.

References

- Bazarkina L A.1993. Diapause Cyclops (C. scutifer) Lake Azabachye. Zoological Journal, 72(11): 22-28. (in Russian)
- Bondarenko N A, Sheveleva N G, Domysheva V M. 2002. Structure of plankton communities in Ilchir, an Alpine lake in Eastern Siberia. *Limnology*, **3**: 127-133. (in Russian)
- Cody R, Johnson W, O'Brien J, MacIntyre S. 2007. Vertical and temporal distribution of two copepod species, *Cyclops scutifer* and *Diaptomus pribilofensis* in 24h arctic daylight. *Journal of Plankton Research*, **29**(3): 275-289.
- Dussart B H. 1969. Les Copépodes des eaux continentals d'Europe occidentale 2. Cyclopoïdes et Biologie. Paris. 294p.
- Einsle U. 1996. Copepoda: Cyclopoida. Genera *Cyclops*, Megacyclops, Acanthocyclops. Guides to the Identification of the Microinverbrates of the Continental Waters of the World. *In*: Dumont H J F coordinating editor. SPB Academic Publishing bv. 82p.
- Elgmork K. 1967. On the distribution and ecology of *Cyclops* scutifer Sars in New England (Copepoda, Crustacea). *Ecology*, **48**: 967-971.
- Elgmork K. 1985. Prolonged life cycles in the planktonic copepod *Cyclops scutifer* Sars. Verh. *Int. Ver. Limnol.*, 22: 3 154-3 158.
- Elgmork K. 2004. Life cycles of freshwater, planktonic copepod *Cyclops scutifer* Sars on a north-south gradient in North America. *Hydrobiologia*, **529**: 37-48.
- Elgmork K, Eie J A. 1989. Two- and three-year life cycles in the planktonic copepod *Cyclops scutifer* in two high mountain lakes. *Holarct. Ecol.*, **12**: 60-69.

- Halvorsen G, Elgmork K. 1976. Vertical distribution and seasonal cycle of *Cyclops scutifer* Sars (Crustacea, Copepoda) in two oligotrophic lakes in southern Norway. *Journal of Zoology*, 24: 143-160.
- Itigilova M Ts, Klishko O K. 1995. Food resources of fish and fish stocks: state and conservation problems. *In*: Environment and sustainable development of Chita Region. Siberian Publishing Company RAS, Novosibirsk. p.88-96. (in Russian)
- Itigilova M Ts, Sheveleva N G. 2009. Species composition and quantitative distribution of zooplankton in Lake Nitchatka (North Zabaikalye). Proceedings of Irkutsk State University. Ser. Biology, Ecology, 2(2): 8-10. (in Russian)
- Ivanova M B. 1975. Planktonic crustaceans. *In*: Winberg G G ed. Biological productivity of northern lakes. Part 1. Lakes Krivoe and Krugloe. Nauka, Leningrad. p.76-90. (in Russian)
- Key to Identification of freshwater invertebrates of Russia and adjacent territories. 1995. *In*: Leeks V R ed. Vol. 2. Crustaceans. St.-Petersburg. 627p. (in Russian)
- Key to Identification of zooplankton and zoobenthos of freshwaters of European Russia. 2010. *In*: Alekseev V R ed. Vol. 1. Zooplankton. Moscow-St.-Petersburg. 494p. (in Russian)
- Korobtsova E V. 1975. Zooplankton. In: Winberg G G ed. Biologicalproductivityofnorthernlakes. Part 2. Lakes Zenenetskoe and Akulkino. Nauka, Leningrad. p.77-97. (in Russian)
- Kozminski Z. 1936. Morfometrische und ökologicche Untersuchungen an Cyclopiden der strenuus-Gruppe. Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol. Hydrogr., 33: 161-240.
- Monchenko VI. 1974. Tschelepnoroti tsiklopopodibii tsiklopi (Cyclopidae). Fauna of Ukraine, 27(3), Kiev, 406p. (in Russian)
- Rivier I K. 2012. Cold-Water Zooplankton in the Basin of Upper Volga. Permikov publisher, Izhevsk. 380p. (in Russian)
- Rivier I K. 1982. Several aspects in stagnation period on Lake Svirskoe. Ecology of Aquatic Organisms in Upper-Volga Reservoirs, Inform. Bull. 45. Leningrad. p.57-68. (in Russian)
- Sheveleva N G, Shishkin B A. 1986. Zooplankton. In: Hydrochemical and Hydrobiological Investigations of Khantaysk Reservoir. Nauka, Novosibirsk. p.81-100. (in Russian)
- Sheveleva N G, Arov I V, Shaburova N I, Evstigneeva T D, Itigilova M Ts. 2009. Biodiversity of Rotifera, Cladocera, Calanoida, Cyclopoida, Harpacticoida in alpine lakes of South East Siberia. *In*: Biota of Water Bodies of the Baikal Rift Zone. Irkutsk. p.83-94. (in Russian)
- Streletskaya E A. 1990. Cyclops (Cyclopoidae, Cyclops) of the scutifer group and new species from the North-East of the USSR. Zoological Journal, 69(6): 31-44. (in Russian)
- Rylov V M. 1948. Fauna of the USSR. Crustaceans. *In*: Pavlovski E N ed. Cyclopoida in Fresh Waters. Moscow, Leningrad. 318p. (in Russian)
- Vasilyeva G L. 1967. Planktonic crustaceans in the south of East Siberia. Proceedings of the Biology and Geography Institute at Irkutsk State University, 20: 130-142. (in Russian)
- Wetsler N M. 2009. Structural features and dynamics of zooplankton community in the pelagial zone of Lake Dalnee (Kamchatka). PhD, Kamchat-NIRO, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky. 168p. (in Russian)